

TOROIDAL AND PROJECTIVE COMMUTING AND NON-COMMUTING GRAPHS

M. AFKHAMI, M. FARROKHI D. G. AND K. KHASHYARMANESH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, all finite groups whose commuting (non-commuting) graphs can be embed on the plane, torus or projective plane are classified.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a non-abelian group. The *commuting graph* associated to G is an undirected graph with vertex set $G \setminus Z(G)$ such that two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if $xy = yx$. We denote this graph by Γ_G . Also, the *non-commuting graph* of G , which is denoted by Γ'_G , is an undirected graph with vertex set $G \setminus Z(G)$ such that two distinct vertices x and y are adjacent if $xy \neq yx$. Indeed, Γ'_G is the complement of Γ_G . Commuting graphs as well as non-commuting graphs have many interesting properties, for instance it is known that (non-)commuting graphs characterize non-abelian finite simple groups among all finite groups (see [12]).

Recall that a graph is *planar* if it can be drawn in the plane such that its edges intersect only at their end points. A *subdivision* of a graph is any graph that can be obtained from the original graph by replacing edges by paths. A remarkable characterization of the planar graphs was given by Kuratowski in 1930. Kuratowski's Theorem [9] states that a graph is planar if and only if it contains no subdivisions of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$, where K_n is the *complete graph* with n vertices and $K_{m,n}$ is the *complete bipartite graph* with parts of sizes m and n .

It is well-known that a compact surface is homeomorphic to a sphere, a connected sum of g tori, or a connected sum of k projective planes (see [10, Theorem 5.1]). We denote S_0 for the sphere and S_g ($g \geq 1$) for the surface formed by a connected sum of g tori, and N_k for the one formed by a connected sum of k projective planes. The number g is called the *genus* of the surface S_g and k is called the *crosscap* of N_k . When considering the orientability, the surfaces S_g and sphere are among the orientable class of surfaces and the surfaces N_k are among the non-orientable one.

A simple graph which can be embedded in S_g but not in S_{g-1} is called a graph of genus g . Similarly, if a simple graph can be embedded in N_k but not in N_{k-1} , then we call it a graph of crosscap k . The notations $\gamma(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma)$ stand for the genus and crosscap of a graph Γ , respectively. It is easy to see that $\gamma(\Gamma_0) \leq \gamma(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma_0) \leq \bar{\gamma}(\Gamma)$, for all subgraphs Γ_0 of Γ . Clearly, a graph Γ is planar if $\gamma(\Gamma) = 0$. A graph Γ such that $\gamma(\Gamma) = 1$ is called a *toroidal* graph. Also, a graph Γ such that $\bar{\gamma}(\Gamma) = 1$ is called a *projective* graph.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C25; Secondary 05C10.

Key words and phrases. Genus, crosscap, commuting graph, non-commuting graph.

This research was in part supported by grants from IPM (No. 91050011) and (No. 900130063).

The aim of this paper is to determine finite non-abelian groups such that their commuting (or non-commuting) graphs are planar, toroidal or projective.

In this paper, G is a finite non-abelian group. In the following, we remind some useful theorems that will be used frequently in our proofs. We note that $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to the given real number x .

Theorem 1.1 ([11]). *For positive integers m and n , we have*

- (1) $\gamma(K_n) = \lceil \frac{1}{12}(n-3)(n-4) \rceil$ if $n \geq 3$,
- (2) $\gamma(K_{m,n}) = \lceil \frac{1}{4}(m-2)(n-2) \rceil$ if $m, n \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.2 ([3]). *Let Γ be a simple graph with v vertices ($v \geq 4$) and e edges. Then $\gamma(\Gamma) \geq \lceil \frac{1}{6}(e-3v)+1 \rceil$.*

Theorem 1.3 ([5, 11]). *For positive integers m and n , we have*

- (1) $\overline{\gamma}(K_n) = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{1}{6}(n-3)(n-4) \rceil, & n \geq 3 \text{ and } n \neq 7, \\ 3, & n = 7, \end{cases}$
- (2) $\overline{\gamma}(K_{m,n}) = \lceil \frac{1}{2}(m-2)(n-2) \rceil$ if $m, n \geq 2$.

A block in a graph is a maximal subgraph with no cut point. The following theorem gives a formula for computing the genus of a graph using its blocks.

Theorem 1.4 ([2]). *If Γ is a graph with blocks $B_1, \dots, B_n$, then*

$$\gamma(\Gamma) = \gamma(B_1) + \dots + \gamma(B_n).$$

Although there is no similar formula for crosscap number of a graph in terms of its blocks crosscap numbers, it is shown in [7] that $2K_5$ is not projective, the fact that will be used in our proofs.

All over this paper, $\bar{\cdot} : G \rightarrow G/Z(G)$ denotes the natural homomorphism for a given group G , hence $\overline{G} = G/Z(G)$ will denote the image group. Also, $\omega(G) = \{|x| : x \in G\}$, $\exp(G) = \text{lcm}(\omega(G))$, $Z(G)$ and $S_p(G)$ (p prime) denote the spectrum of G , the exponent of G , the center of G and a Sylow p -subgroup of G , respectively. In what follows, S_n , A_n , D_{2n} and Q_8 stand for the symmetric group of degree n , alternating group of degree n , dihedral group of order $2n$ and the quaternion group of order 8. Moreover, the union of n disjoint copies of a graph Γ will be denoted by $n\Gamma$.

2. COMMUTING GRAPHS

In this section, we will classify all finite non-abelian groups whose commuting graphs can be embedded in the plane, torus or projective plane. We begin with a simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a p -group of order p^n , where $n > 1$. Then*

- (1) *If $p > 2$, then $G \setminus \{1\}$ has a commuting subset with $p^2 - 1 \geq 8$ elements.*
- (2) *If $p = 2$, $n \geq 5$ and G is non-abelian, then $G \setminus Z(G)$ has two disjoint commuting subsets with 6 elements*

Proof. (1) Let x be a central element of G of order p and consider the subgroup generated by $\{x, y\}$ for any $y \in G \setminus \langle x \rangle$.

(2) If $|Z(G)| \geq 8$, then consider two distinct cosets of $Z(G)$. Assume $|Z(G)| \leq 4$. Let H be a subgroup of G of order 32 containing $Z(G)$. If H contains an abelian subgroup K of order 16, then $K \setminus Z(G)$ contains two disjoint commuting subsets

with 6 elements. Hence, we may assume that H does not have abelian subgroups of order 16. Using the following codes in GAP [6], one can easily see that $|Z(H)| = 2$ and consequently $|Z(G)| = 2$.

```
for i in [1..NrSmallGroups(32)] do
  H:=SmallGroup(32,i);
  if Maximum(List(Filtered(AllSubgroups(H),IsAbelian),Order))<16 then
    Print(Order(Center(H)),"\\n");
  fi;
od;
```

Now, by using following codes, it follows that $H \setminus Z(G)$ contains two disjoint commuting subsets with 6 elements.

```
for i in [1..NrSmallGroups(32)] do
  H:=SmallGroup(32,i);
  L:=Filtered(AllSubgroups(H),IsAbelian);
  counterexample:=true;
  if Maximum(List(L,Order))<16 then
    for A in L do
      for B in L do
        if Order(A)=8 and Order(B)=8 and Order(Intersection(A,B))=2 then
          counterexample:=false;
        fi;
      od;
    od;
  fi;
  if counterexample=true then
    Print(i,"\\n");
  fi;
od;
```

The proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a finite non-abelian group. Then Γ_G is planar if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (1) $S_3, D_8, Q_8, A_4, D_{10}, D_{12}, D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, S_4, SL(2, 3), A_5,$
- (2) $\langle a, b : a^3 = b^4 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4,$
- (3) $\langle a, b : a^4 = b^4 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4,$
- (4) $\langle a, b : a^8 = b^2 = 1, a^b = a^{-3} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$
- (5) $\langle a, b : a^4 = b^2 = (ab)^4 = [a^2, b] = 1 \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$
- (6) $\langle a, b, c : a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1, [a, b] = c^2 \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2,$
- (7) $\langle a, b : a^5 = b^4 = 1, a^b = a^3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4.$

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite non-abelian group. Then Γ_G is toroidal if and only if Γ_G is projective if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (1) $D_{14},$
- (2) $D_{16},$
- (3) $Q_{16},$
- (4) $QD_{16},$
- (5) $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2,$

$$(6) \langle a, b : a^7 = b^3 = 1, a^b = a^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3.$$

Proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. We will show that there are only finitely many groups whose commuting graph have no subgraphs isomorphic to K_8 or $2K_5$ and among them, we will cross out those whose commuting graph is not planar, toroidal or projective. We proceed in some steps.

(1) $|Z(G)| \geq 8$. Then $xZ(G)$ induces a complete subgraph for each $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$, which is a contradiction. So, we have $|Z(G)| \leq 7$.

(2) $|Z(G)| \geq 4$. If $\bar{x} \in \bar{G}$ such that $|\bar{x}| > 2$, then $xZ(G) \cup x^{-1}Z(G)$ induces a complete subgraph with at least 8 elements, which is a contradiction. Thus $\bar{G}$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and hence G is nilpotent. Clearly, $|Z(G)| \neq 5, 7$. If $|Z(G)| = 6$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times H$, where H is an extra special 2-group. Let $\langle x \rangle$ be the Sylow 3-subgroup of G . If $A \subseteq H \setminus Z(H)$ is a commuting set, then $\langle x \rangle \times A$ is a commuting set in $G \setminus Z(G)$. Thus Γ_G has a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3|A|}$. Hence $|A| \leq 2$ and this is possible only if $H \cong D_8$ or Q_8 . Therefore $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times D_8$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times Q_8$, which is impossible for $\Gamma_G \cong 3K_6$. If $|Z(G)| = 4$, then G is a 2-group and, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $|G| = 16$.

(3) $|Z(G)| = 3$. If $\bar{x} \in \bar{G}$ is an element of order > 3 , then $xZ(G) \cup x^2Z(G) \cup x^3Z(G)$ induces a complete subgraph isomorphic to K_9 , which is impossible. Thus $\omega(\bar{G}) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$. With a same argument one can show that $C_G(x) = \langle Z(G), x \rangle$ for all $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$. Now, we have three cases. If $\bar{G}$ is a 2-group, then G is abelian, which is a contradiction. Also, if $\bar{G}$ is a 3-group and $x, y \in G$ are such that $xy \neq yx$, then $xZ(G) \cup x^{-1}Z(G) \cup yZ(G) \cup y^{-1}Z(G)$ induces a subgraph isomorphic to $2K_6$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\bar{G}$ is neither a 2-group nor a 3-group. Then, by [4], either $\bar{G} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $\bar{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. If $\bar{G} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, then $Z(G)S_2(G) \setminus Z(G)$ induces a complete subgraph with at least 9 elements, which is a contradiction. Thus $\bar{G} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^m \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. By previous arguments, $S_3(G)$ must be abelian, which implies that $|S_3(G) \setminus Z(G)| \leq 7$. Hence, $|S_3(G)| = 9$ and so $|G| = 18$.

(4) $|Z(G)| = 2$. If there is an element $\bar{x} \in \bar{G}$ with $|\bar{x}| \geq 5$, then $xZ(G) \cup x^2Z(G) \cup x^3Z(G) \cup x^4Z(G)$ induces a subgraph isomorphic to K_8 , which is impossible. Therefore, $\omega(\bar{G}) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Since $Z(G) \subseteq S_2(G)$, by Lemma 2.1, $|G| \mid 2^4 \cdot 3$.

(5) $|Z(G)| = 1$. Clearly, $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$. By Lemma 2.1, $|G| \mid 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Also, if $7 \in \omega(G)$, then $S_7(G) \leq G$, which implies that $|G| \mid 48$.

Now, the result follows by a simple computation with GAP [6]. The converse is straightforward. $\square$

3. NON-COMMUTING GRAPHS

In this section, we shall determine all finite non-abelian groups whose non-commuting graphs can be embedded in the plane, torus or projective plane. The following theorem of Abdollahi, Akbari and Maimani gives all planar non-commuting graphs.

Theorem 3.1 ([1]). *Let G be a finite non-abelian group. Then Γ'_G is planar if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the groups S_3 , D_8 or Q_8 .*

Theorem 3.2. *There is no toroidal non-commuting graph.*

Proof. Assume on a contrary that G is a finite group with toroidal non-commuting graph. Let $k(G)$ be the number of conjugacy classes of G . Since $|V(\Gamma'_G)| = |G| -$

$|Z(G)|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 2|E(\Gamma'_G)| &= |G|^2 - |\{(x, y) \in G \times G : xy = yx\}| \\ &= |G|^2 - |G|k(G), \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 1.2, it follows that $|G|(|G| - k(G) - 6) + 6|Z(G)| \leq 0$. Hence $k(G) \geq |G| - 5$. On the other hand, $k(G)/|G| \leq 5/8$ (see [8]), from which it follows that $|G| \leq 13$. A simple verification shows that S_3 , D_8 and Q_8 are the only groups with these properties each of which has a planar non-commuting graph, a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *There is no projective non-commuting graph.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that G is a finite group with projective non-commuting graph. If $x, y \in G$ are such that $xy \neq yx$, then the subgraph induced by $xZ(G) \cup yZ(G)$ is isomorphic to $K_{|Z(G)|, |Z(G)|}$, which implies that $|Z(G)| \leq 3$. On the other hand, if $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$, $y \in G \setminus C_G(x)$ and X is the set of all generators of $\langle x \rangle$, then the subgraph induced by $X \cup \langle x \rangle y$ is isomorphic to $K_{\varphi(|x|), |x|}$, where φ is the Euler totient function, from which it follows that $|x| \leq 4$ or $|x| = 6$. If $|x| = 6$ then there exists a suitable power x^* of x such that $x^* \in G \setminus C_G(y)$ and the subgraph induced by $\{x, x^{-1}, x^*\} \cup \langle x \rangle y$ is isomorphic to $K_{3,6}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\omega(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. On the other hand, if $x \in G$ such that $|\bar{x}| = 4$, then the subgraph induced by $\{x, x^{-1}, x^2\} \cup (G \setminus C_G(x^2))$ has a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3, |G \setminus C_G(x^2)|}$, which implies that $|G \setminus C_G(x^2)| \leq 4$. Hence, $|G| = 8$ and consequently $\exp(\overline{G}) = 2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\omega(\overline{G}) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since G has no elements of order 6, it follows that G is a 3-group, G is a 2-group or $Z(G) = 1$. Thus, we have the following cases:

Case 1. G is a 3-group. If $x \in G \setminus Z(G)$ and $y \in G \setminus C_G(x)$, then the subgraph induced by $xZ(G) \cup x^{-1}Z(G) \cup yZ(G)$ is isomorphic to $K_{3,6}$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. G is a 2-group. Then $|Z(G)| = \exp(\overline{G}) = 2$, which implies that G is an extra special 2-group. So, $G = G_1 \circ \dots \circ G_n$ is the central product of $G_1, \dots, G_n$, where $G_i \cong D_8$ or Q_8 , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $x, y \in G_1$ with $xy \neq yx$. If $n > 1$, then the subgraph induced by $xG_2 \cup yG_2$ is isomorphic to $K_{8,8}$, which is impossible. Thus $n = 1$ and subsequently $G \cong D_8$ or Q_8 , a contradiction.

Case 3. $|Z(G)| = 1$. Let $P = S_2(G)$, $Q = S_3(G)$ and $x, y \in G$ be elements of orders 2 and 3, respectively. By Case 2, either P is abelian, or $P \cong D_8$ or Q_8 . If P is abelian, then the subgraph induced by $(P \setminus \{1\}) \cup Py$ is isomorphic to $K_{|P|-1, |P|}$, which implies that $|P| \leq 4$. Hence, $|P| \leq 8$ in all cases. On the other hand, Q is abelian, which implies that the subgraph induced by $(Q \setminus \{1\}) \cup Qx$ is isomorphic to $K_{|Q|-1, |Q|}$. So, we have $|Q| = 3$. Therefore $|G| \leq 24$. The only groups with these properties are S_3 , A_4 and S_4 each of which has a non-projective non-commuting graph. The proof is complete. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The authors are deeply grateful to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abdollahi, S. Akbari and H.R. Maimani, Non-commuting graph of a group, *J. Algebra* **298** (2006), 468–492.

- [2] J. Battle, F. Harary, Y. Kodama and J. W. T. Youngs, Additivity of the genus of a graph, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1962), 656–668.
- [3] L.W. Beineke and F. Harary, Inequalities involving the genus of a graph and its thickness, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **7** (1965), 19–21.
- [4] E.D. Bolker, Groups whose elements are of order two or three, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **79**(9) (1972), 1007–1010.
- [5] A. Bouchet, Orientable and nonorientable genus of the complete bipartite graph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **24** (1978), 24–33.
- [6] The GAP Group, *GAP-Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4.6.4, 2013* (<http://www.gap-system.org/>).
- [7] H.H. Glover, J.P. Huneke and C.S. Wang, 103 Graphs that are irreducible for the projective plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **27** (1979), 332–370.
- [8] W.H. Gustafson, What is the probability that two group elements commute?, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **80** (1973), 1031–1304.
- [9] K. Kuratowski, Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie, *Fund. Math.* **15** (1930), 271–283.
- [10] W. Massey, *Algebraic Topology: An Introduction*, Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [11] G. Ringel, *Map Color Theorem*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, 1974.
- [12] R. M. Solomon and A. J. Woldar, Simple groups are characterized by their non-commuting graphs, *J. Group Theory* **16**(6) (2013), 793–824.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEYSHABUR, P.O.Box 91136-899, NEYSHABUR, IRAN

E-mail address: `mojgan.afkhani@yahoo.com`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P.O.Box 1159-91775, MASHHAD, IRAN

E-mail address: `m.farrokhi.d.g@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P.O.Box 1159-91775, MASHHAD, IRAN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES(IPM), P.O.Box 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN

E-mail address: `khashyar@ipm.ir`